

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/927,315	09/927,315 08/10/2001		Charles S. Zuker	02307E-120110US	02307E-120110US 4699	
758	7590	04/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	K & WEST		BRANNOCK,	BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T		
	FORNIA ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MOUNTA	IN VIEW,	CA 94041	1649			

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/927,315	ZUKER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Brannock	1649			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 49-51,56-58,67,69-72,75 and 76 is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 49-51, 56-58, 67, 69, 70-72, 75, 76 is. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are.	wn from consideration.  /are rejected.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 121505.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Applicant is notified that the finality of the previous Office Action (Paper 9/15/2005) is

withdrawn due to the issuance of a new rejection under 35 USC 102(e), see below.

It is noted that a Notice of Appeal has been filed. Applicant can request a refund for the

associated fees or leave it as credit for future appeals.

Applicant is notified that the amendments put forth on 12/15/05 have been entered in full.

Applicant is reminded that the instant claims are being examined only to the extent that they read

on the elected invention, i.e., only to the extent that the read on a hT1R2/hT1R3 receptor of SEQ

ID NO: 9 and 15, Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement having been acknowledged

and addressed in paper 8/26/03.

Response to Amendment

Applicant is notified that any outstanding objection or rejection that is not expressly maintained

in this Office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's persuasive arguments and in

view of newly issued U.S. Patent No: 6955887 which provides additional evidence that the art

recognizes that the instant polypeptides are amenable to sequence variation at the level of 90%,

see below.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,315 Page 3

Art Unit: 1649

**New Rejections:** 

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 49-51, 56-58, 67, 69, 70-72, 75, 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for the following reason.

Claims 49, 75, require have been amended to require that "said functional effect is binding to or an effect on receptor activity". This phrase renders the claim indefinite because it does not set forth what part of the sentence "binding to" refers to. Furthermore, it is unclear which receptor is the receptor referred to in the claim, e.g. is it "the receptor" as set forth in line (ii)? or another receptor. It is suggested to applicant that the phrase "said functional effect is binding to the receptor or an effect on the activity of the receptor" would bring the claims into compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Application/Control Number: 09/927,315 Page 4

Art Unit: 1649

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 49, 50, 51, 56, 58, 67, 69-72, 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No: 6955887, published October 18, 2005, to ADLER.

Adler disclose assay methods (claims 45-114 of ADLER) for modulators of a sweet taste receptor comprising a heterodimer of hT1R2 and hT1R3. The hT1R2 disclosed by ADLER is 98.9% identical to the instant SEQ ID NO: 9 (see attached alignment), whereas the hT1R3 disclosed by ADLER is 100% identical to the instant SEQ ID NO: 15. The methods claimed by ADLER include contacting a compound with a cell expressing the hT1R2/hT1R3 heterodimer and determining the effect that the compound has on the activity of the hT1R2/hT1R3 heterodimer (claims 75 and 76) or measuring ligand binding (claim 45). Wherein the cell is human (claim 81), and the effect is chemical or phenotypic, e.g. claims 95 and 103. Furthermore, as claimed by ADLER, for example, in claim 81, the use of cells such as CHO, HeLa and HEK-293 in the methods would necessarily involve the recombinant expression of the hT1R2/hT1R3 heterodimer, as required by the instant claims. Although, claims 45-114 of ADLER are not worded exactly the same as the instant claims, they perform the same method steps, with the same materials and accomplish the same goals and are thus not patentably distinct.

Additionally, the instant claims 50 and 51 make the distinction between non-covalent and covalently linked heterodimers. The instant specification does not indicate what the default state of the heterodimers would be when expressed in a cell, only that they could be either non-covalently or covalently linked, see page 11. Thus it is assumed that it is an inherent property of

Application/Control Number: 09/927,315 Page 5

Art Unit: 1649

the expressed heterodimers that they would be either non-covalently or covalently linked, i.e. a mixture of both states, and thus the claims of the 6955887 patent read on both claim 50 and 51.

Applicant is reminded that the ADLER reference is a U.S. patent or U.S. patent application publication of a pending or patented application that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same patentable invention, see MPEP § 2306. If the reference and this application are not commonly owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through interference proceedings. See MPEP Chapter 2300 for information on initiating interference proceedings. If the reference and this application are commonly owned, the reference may be disqualified as prior art by an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130. See MPEP § 718.

Art Unit: 1649

```
US-09-897-427A-4
  ; Sequence 4, Application US/09897427A; GENERAL INFORMATION:
; APPLICANT: ADLER, JON BLLIOT; APPLICANT: LI, XIADONG
; APPLICANT: STAZEWSKI, LENA
; APPLICANT: XU, HONG
    APPLICANT:
             EHEVERRI, FERNANDO
    TITLE OF INVENTION: T1R HETERO-OLIGOMERIC TASTE RECEPTORS FILE REFERENCE: 078003-0282558
    CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/09/897,427A
  CURRENT FILING DATE: 2001-07-03
NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 10
SOFTWARE: PatentIn Ver. 2.1
  SEQ ID NO 4
   LENGTH: 839
   TYPE: PRT
   ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
US-09-897-427A-4
 Query Match 98.9
Best Local Similarity 99.3
Matches 833; Conservative
                  98.9%; Score 4392.5; DB 23; Length 839; 99.3%; Pred. No. 0;
                       0; Mismatches
                                      Indels
                                                Gaps
        Ov
Dh
        Qy
Db
         LLPIQEDYSNYISRVVAVIGPDNSESVMTVANFLSLFLLPQITYSAISDELRDKVRFPAL 180
Qy
Db
       Ov
Db
         Qy
Db
         Qy
Db
Qy
         QECDNCLNATLSFNTILRLSGERVVYSVYSAVYAVAHALHSLLGCDKSTCTKRVVYPWQL
         QECDNCLNATLSFNTILRLSGERVVYSVYSAVYAVAHALHSLLGCDKSTCTKRVVYPWQL 420
Db
         LEEIWKVNFTLLDHQIFFDPQGDVALHLEIVQWQWDRSQNPFQSVASYYPLQRQLKNIQD 480
         Qy
Db
       Qу
Dh
         Qy
Db
       Q<sub>2</sub>
Db
      Db
      Qy
Db
```

Art Unit: 1649

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0867. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elyaber C. Kenmen

March 24, 2006